

THE CORPORATE  
GOVERNANCE  
REVIEW

SEVENTH EDITION

**Editor**  
Willem J L Calkoen

THE LAWREVIEWS

# THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

The Corporate Governance Review

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Corporate Governance Review - Edition 7  
(published in March 2017 – editor Willem J L Calkoen)

For further information please email  
[Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.com](mailto:Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.com)

THE  
CORPORATE  
GOVERNANCE  
REVIEW

SEVENTH EDITION

**Editor**  
Willem J L Calkoen

THE LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER  
Gideon Robertson

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER  
Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS  
Felicity Bown, Thomas Lee

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGER  
Joel Woods

ACCOUNT MANAGERS  
Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall, Sophie Emberson,  
Sian Jones, Laura Lynas

MARKETING AND READERSHIP COORDINATOR  
Rebecca Mogridge

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR  
Gavin Jordan

HEAD OF PRODUCTION  
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR  
Robbie Kelly

SUBEDITOR  
Janina Godowska

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
Paul Howarth

Published in the United Kingdom  
by Law Business Research Ltd, London  
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK  
© 2017 Law Business Research Ltd  
[www.TheLawReviews.co.uk](http://www.TheLawReviews.co.uk)

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of March 2017, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed  
to the Publisher – [gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com](mailto:gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com)

ISBN 978-1-910813-51-5

Printed in Great Britain by  
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

# THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND  
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW  
THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW  
THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW  
THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW  
THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW  
THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW  
THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW  
THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW  
THE INSOLVENCY REVIEW  
THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW  
THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW  
THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW  
THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW  
THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW  
THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW  
THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW  
THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW  
THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW  
THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW  
THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW  
THE SPORTS LAW REVIEW  
THE INVESTMENT TREATY ARBITRATION REVIEW  
THE GAMBLING LAW REVIEW  
THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ANTITRUST REVIEW  
THE REAL ESTATE M&A AND PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW  
THE SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS AND ACTIVISM REVIEW  
THE ISLAMIC FINANCE AND MARKETS LAW REVIEW  
THE ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE LAW REVIEW  
THE CONSUMER FINANCE LAW REVIEW

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ADVOKATFIRMAET BA-HR DA

A&L GOODBODY

BÄR & KARRER AG

BHARUCHA & PARTNERS

BREDIN PRAT

CAREY

CARRILLO Y ASOCIADOS

THE DELAWARE COUNSEL GROUP LLP

GILBERT + TOBIN

GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ

HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD

HENGELER MUELLER PARTNERSCHAFT VON RECHTSANWÄLTEN MBB

HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS CIS LLP

HOGAN LOVELLS INTERNATIONAL LLP

KOEP & PARTNERS

LEE AND LI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

NAUTADUTILH

NISHIMURA & ASAHI

OLANIWUN AJAYI LP

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

PATRIKIOS PAVLOU & ASSOCIATES LLC

PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

PLESNER LAW FIRM

SANTAMARINA Y STETA, SC

SCHINDLER RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

SLAUGHTER AND MAY

TSIBANOULIS & PARTNERS

URÍA MENÉNDEZ

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

WKB WIERCIŃSKI, KWIECIŃSKI, BAEHR

# CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	vii
<i>Willem J L Calkoen</i>	
Chapter 1	AUSTRALIA..... 1
<i>John Williamson-Noble and Tim Gordon</i>	
Chapter 2	AUSTRIA..... 15
<i>Martin Abram and Clemens Ph Schindler</i>	
Chapter 3	BELGIUM ..... 26
<i>Elke Janssens</i>	
Chapter 4	BOLIVIA..... 51
<i>Jorge Inchauste and José Bernal</i>	
Chapter 5	BRAZIL..... 61
<i>Marcelo Viveiros de Moura and Marcos Saldanha Proença</i>	
Chapter 6	CANADA..... 72
<i>Andrew MacDougall, Robert Yalden and John Valley</i>	
Chapter 7	CHILE..... 85
<i>Jorge Ugarte, Luciano Aguilera and Héctor Hernández</i>	
Chapter 8	CHINA..... 95
<i>Sherry Gong</i>	
Chapter 9	CYPRUS..... 107
<i>Stella Strati and Angeliki Epaminonda</i>	
Chapter 10	DENMARK..... 123
<i>Jacob Christensen, Soren Toft Bjerreskov and Nicholas William Boe Stenderup</i>	

## Contents

---

Chapter 11	FINLAND.....	137
	<i>Klaus Imonen, Antti Kuha, Anniina Järvinen and Jesse Collin</i>	
Chapter 12	FRANCE.....	150
	<i>Didier Martin</i>	
Chapter 13	GERMANY.....	167
	<i>Carsten van de Sande and Sven H Schneider</i>	
Chapter 14	GREECE.....	183
	<i>Sofia Kizantidi and Efi Palaiologou</i>	
Chapter 15	GUATEMALA.....	195
	<i>Rodolfo Alegria and Rodrigo Callejas A</i>	
Chapter 16	INDIA.....	205
	<i>Justin Bharucha and Priya Makhijani</i>	
Chapter 17	IRELAND.....	217
	<i>Paul White</i>	
Chapter 18	JAPAN.....	233
	<i>Mitsuhiko Harada and Tatsuya Nakayama</i>	
Chapter 19	LUXEMBOURG.....	247
	<i>Margaretha Wilkenhuysen and Steven van Waas</i>	
Chapter 20	MEXICO.....	269
	<i>Alberto Saavedra, Alfonso Monroy and Daniel Velázquez</i>	
Chapter 21	NAMIBIA.....	278
	<i>Hugo Meyer van den Berg and Chastin Bassingthwaighte</i>	
Chapter 22	NETHERLANDS.....	296
	<i>Geert Raaijmakers and Suzanne Rutten</i>	
Chapter 23	NIGERIA.....	319
	<i>Olayimika Phillips, Michael Amadi, Theresa Emeifeogwu, Eden Abdul-Azeez, and Oluwatumise Omotoyinbo</i>	

## Contents

---

Chapter 24	NORWAY.....	329
	<i>Gudmund Knudsen and Erik Langseth</i>	
Chapter 25	POLAND.....	339
	<i>Andrzej Wierciński, Anna Wojciechowska and Anna Wyrzykowska</i>	
Chapter 26	PORTUGAL.....	356
	<i>Francisco Brito e Abreu and Joana Torres Ereio</i>	
Chapter 27	RUSSIA.....	374
	<i>Danil Guryanov, Denis Morozov and Bogdana Shtoma</i>	
Chapter 28	SPAIN.....	393
	<i>Carlos Paredes and Rafael Núñez-Lagos</i>	
Chapter 29	SWEDEN.....	406
	<i>Björn Kristiansson, Sanna Börís and Per Samuelsson</i>	
Chapter 30	SWITZERLAND.....	419
	<i>Rolf Watter and Katja Roth Pellanda</i>	
Chapter 31	TAIWAN.....	435
	<i>Stephen C Wu, Benjamin Y Li and Derrick C Yang</i>	
Chapter 32	UNITED KINGDOM.....	447
	<i>Andy Ryde and Murray Cox</i>	
Chapter 33	UNITED STATES.....	459
	<i>Adam O Emmerich, William Savitt, Sebastian V Niles, S Iliana Ongun and Jillian Colbert Alsheimer</i>	
Chapter 34	UNITED STATES: DELAWARE.....	472
	<i>Ellisa O Habbart and Michael S Swoyer</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	483
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS.....	507

# PREFACE

I am proud to present this new edition of *The Corporate Governance Review* to you.

In this seventh edition, we can see that corporate governance is becoming a more vital and all-encompassing topic with each year that passes. We all realise that the modern corporation is one of the most ingenious concepts ever devised. Our lives are dominated by corporations. We eat and breathe through them, we travel with them, we are entertained by them, most of us work for them. Most corporations aim to add value to society and they very often do. Some, however, are exploiting, polluting, poisoning and impoverishing us. A lot depends on the commitment, direction and aims of a corporation's founders, shareholders, boards and management and employees. Do they show commitment to all stakeholders and to long-term shareholders, or mainly to short-term shareholders? There are many variations on the structure of corporations and boards within each country and between countries. All will agree that much depends on the personalities and commitment of the persons of influence in the corporation.

We see that everyone wants to be involved in 'better corporate governance': parliaments, governments, the European Commission, the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the UN's Ruggie reports, the media, supervising national banks, more and more shareholder activists and other stakeholders. The business world is getting more complex and overregulated, and there are more black swans, while good strategies can quite quickly become outdated. Most directors are working diligently, many with even more diligence. Nevertheless, there have been failures in some sectors, so trust has to be regained. How can directors do all their increasingly complex work and communicate with all the parties mentioned above?

What should executive directors know? What should non-executive directors know? What systems should they set up for better enterprise risk management? How can chairs create a balance against imperial CEOs? Can lead or senior directors create sufficient balance? Should most non-executive directors understand the business? How much time should they spend on their function? How independent must they be? What about diversity? Should their pay be lower? What are the stewardship responsibilities of shareholders? What are the pros and cons of shareholder rights plans?

Governments, the European Commission and the SEC are all pressing for more formal inflexible legislative acts, especially in the area of remuneration. Acts set minimum standards, while codes of best practice set aspirational standards. We see a large influence on 'norms' by codes and influential investor groups.

More international investors, voting advisory associations and shareholder activists want to be involved in dialogue with boards about strategy, succession and income. Indeed, far-sighted boards have 'selected engagements' with stewardship shareholders to create trust.

What more can they do to show all stakeholders that they are improving their enterprises other than through setting a better ‘tone from the top’? Should they put big signs on their buildings emphasising integrity, stewardship and respect?

Interest in corporate governance has been increasing since 1992, when shareholder activists forced out the CEO at General Motors and the first corporate governance code – the Cadbury Code – was written. The OECD produced a model code and many countries produced national versions along the lines of the Cadbury ‘comply or explain’ model. This has generally led to more transparency, accountability, fairness and responsibility. However, there have been instances where CEOs gradually amassed too much power or companies have not developed new strategies and have produced bad results – and sometimes even failure. More are failing since the global financial crisis than previously, hence the increased outside interest in legislation, further supervision and new corporate governance codes for boards, and stewardship codes for shareholders and shareholder activists. The European Commission is developing a regulation for this area as well.

This all implies that executive and non-executive directors should work harder and more as a team on policy, strategy and entrepreneurship. More money is lost through lax or poor directorship than through mistakes. On the other hand, corporate risk management is an essential part of directors’ responsibilities, and sets the tone from the top. How can directors do their important work well without being petrified of attacks from shareholders’ regulations and the press?

Each country has its own measures; however, the chapters of this book also show a convergence. The concept underlying the book is of a one-volume text containing a series of reasonably short, but sufficiently detailed, jurisdictional overviews that permit convenient comparisons, where a quick ‘first look’ at key issues would be helpful to general counsel and their clients.

My aim as editor has been to achieve a high quality of content so that *The Corporate Governance Review* will be seen, in time, as an essential reference work in our field. To meet the all-important content quality objective, it was a condition *sine qua non* to attract as contributors colleagues who are among the recognised leaders in the field of corporate governance law from each jurisdiction.

I thank all the contributors who helped with this project. I hope that this book will give the reader food for thought; you always learn about your own law and best practice by reading about the laws and practices of others. Further editions of this work will obviously benefit from the thoughts and suggestions of our readers. We will be extremely grateful to receive comments and proposals on how we might improve the next edition.

**Willem J L Calkoen**

NautaDutilh  
Rotterdam  
March 2017

# CYPRUS

*Stella Strati and Angeliki Epaminonda*<sup>1</sup>

## I OVERVIEW OF GOVERNANCE REGIME

### i Legislation and supervision

Corporate governance is relevant to both private and public companies. Nevertheless, this chapter will focus on corporate governance rules, applicable to public limited companies listed on the Cyprus Stock Exchange (CSE).

In Cyprus, the Department of the Registrar of Companies and Official Receiver (DRCOR) is responsible for the registration, compliance and winding up of companies, while the approvals for listing on the CSE are granted by the Cyprus Securities and Exchange Commission (CySEC).

CySEC is the independent public supervisory authority responsible for the supervision of the investment services market and transactions in transferable securities; its mission is to exercise effective supervision to ensure investor protection and the healthy market development.

The core legislative provisions are included in the Cyprus Companies Law, Cap 113 (Companies Law). This law initially mirrored the provisions of the UK Companies Act 1948, but it has not followed the subsequent amendments of the UK legislation. Cyprus courts, however, seem to follow to a great extent the UK-developed case law. The Companies Law governs the provisions of a Cyprus company's memorandum and articles of association. Public and private companies may also adopt in whole or in part the model regulations contained in Table A of the Companies Law.

Common law principles also apply, such as those concerning the fiduciary duties of directors, or, for example, the duty to act in good faith and for the benefit of the company.

The relevant legislative framework, applicable to Cyprus-listed companies, also consists of:

- a* the Cyprus Securities and Stock Exchange Laws of 1993–2007, as amended (and relevant regulations);
- b* the Cyprus Securities and Exchange Commission Law 73(I)/2009, as amended;
- c* the Transparency Requirements Law 190(I)/2007, as amended (the Transparency Law);
- d* the Investment Services and Activities and Regulated Markets Law, 144(I)/2007;
- e* the Takeover Bids law of 2007, Law 41(I) of 2007 as amended (the Takeover Bids Law);

---

<sup>1</sup> Stella Strati is a limited partner and Angeliki Epaminonda is a senior associate at Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC.

- f* the Market Abuse Law 102(I)/2016 (the Market Abuse Law), which repealed the Inside Information and Manipulation of the Market (Abuse of the Market) Law 116(I)/2005; and
- g* the Corporate Governance Code (4th edition), April 2014 (the Code).

The Transparency Law, the Takeover Bids Law and the Market Abuse Law have implemented the relevant EU Directives.

CySEC issues directives and circulars regularly, supplementing the relevant regulatory framework. Its main responsibilities include the supervision of the CSE and of issuers of listed securities, the licensing of investment firms and the imposition of administrative sanctions and penalties for infringement of the stock market laws and regulations.

Following the recession that hit the Cyprus stock market in 2000, the CSE issued in 2002 the first corporate governance code purporting to introduce a set of corporate governance principles, offering additional protection to the shareholders of listed companies. Currently, the fourth revised version of the code applies, issued in April 2014.

The Code contains a set of legal principles, rather than inflexible legal rules. It is only obligatory for companies listed on the Main Market and, in part, it is also mandatory for companies listed on the Parallel Market. Its aims include strengthening the monitoring role of the board in listed companies, protecting small shareholders, adopting greater transparency and providing timely information as well as sufficiently safeguarding the independence of the board in its decision-making. Private companies are not bound by its provisions but are encouraged to consider it as guidance and utilise it as a best-practice model.

Moreover, Cyprus, as an EU member state, implements and complies in full with all relevant European directives and regulations.

## **ii Recent developments and trends**

Recent studies indicate a positive tendency in adopting the Code, with almost 50 per cent of public companies complying at least partially with it.

The increase in the proportion of women on boards constitutes one of the main challenges in corporate governance. Currently, the percentage of female representation on the boards of public listed companies is significantly lower than the EU average. According to recent European Commission studies regarding the 20 largest listed companies in Cyprus, and based on data collected in April 2016, only 5 per cent of chairpersons are female, and 11 per cent of board members are female. In any case there is a higher level of female representation among non-executive directors. There has been an improvement in the proportion of female board members in recent years; however, the European Commission considers the rate of change to be too slow. The target is for 40 per cent of the members of non-executive boards of publicly listed companies to be women by 2020.

Relevant challenges have also arisen out of the banking crisis of 2013. It has been identified that the weaknesses in corporate governance practices in Cypriot banks were an essential cause of the banking crisis. This has led to the widespread view that a stricter framework and higher standards of corporate governance are required to promote correct behaviour in the interests of all stakeholders. To this extent, in April 2015 legislation was passed to amend the provisions of the Companies Law relating to insolvency.

## II CORPORATE LEADERSHIP

### i Board structure and practices

#### *Structure and composition of the board of directors*

The Companies Law provides for a standard one-tier board structure for all companies.

The Code provides that every listed company should be headed by an effective board of directors, leading and controlling the company. It should function on the basis of the principle of collective responsibility and none of its members should absolve themselves from responsibility.

Pursuant to Section A.2 of the Code the board should include a balance of independent non-executive directors and remaining directors, such that no individual director or small group thereof can dominate the decision-making process. The board should contain a sufficient number of non-executive directors, with adequate abilities, knowledge and experience, so their opinion carries significant weight in the decision making.

The board exercises its power as a whole; in principle, its powers are not delegated to individual directors, except for the establishment of specific committees (analysed below). In any case, an individual director can represent and act on behalf of the company if so authorised by a board resolution, and an attorney can be appointed to represent the company pursuant to a power of attorney granted by the company, again following a relevant board resolution, and provided that the articles of association of the company allow it.

According to the Code, the roles of the chairman of the board and the chief executive officer should not be exercised by the same individual. The division of responsibilities between them should be clearly established, set out in writing and agreed by the board.

#### *Legal responsibilities*

The board of directors should meet regularly, at least six times per year, and these meetings should have a formal schedule of matters. The chairman is responsible for the proper running of the meetings and should ensure that the items on the agenda are sufficiently supported by all available information. Moreover, the minutes should include all details regarding the resolutions taken and should be at the disposal of the directors as soon as possible, and in any case before the next meeting.

According to the Code, directors should decide on a number of matters especially reserved for them. These matters include, *inter alia*, the objectives and strategic policy of the company; its annual budget and business plan; significant capital expenditures; mergers, acquisitions and allocations of part of the company's assets; the adoption and any changes in the application of accounting principles; and the material transactions of the company and its subsidiaries.

Directors who have a personal interest in a matter should declare their interest and, depending on the articles, they may be excluded from voting or may not be counted for quorum purposes.

The board has a duty to issue the annual report on corporate governance, which includes, *inter alia*, an assessment of internal control and other financial operational and compliance systems, a verification that the company has not violated any relevant laws and regulations, and a reference to any loans granted, any guarantees provided and to the company's accounts.

### ***Communication with shareholders***

As regards the relationship between directors and shareholders, the Code includes the principle that the board should use the annual general meetings (AGMs) to communicate with investors and encourage their participation. The chairman should ensure that the president of each committee is available to answer the shareholders' questions posed at the AGMs. Also, the agenda and the organisation thereof should allow for substantial dialogue.

Section D.2 of the Code provides that corporate governance practices should stem from the principle of equitable treatment of all shareholders, including minority shareholders, and the procedures at the general meetings should guarantee the equitable treatment of all shareholders. All information pertaining to the company should be distributed fairly, in a timely and costless manner to all shareholders, who should also be given timely and precise reports on all material changes concerning the company.

### **ii Remuneration**

Cyprus companies should establish a formal and transparent procedure for developing a policy on executive directors' remuneration and for fixing the remuneration packages of individual directors. Directors should not be involved in deciding their remuneration.

The Code provides that a remuneration committee should be established, consisting exclusively of non-executive directors so as to avoid potential conflicts of interest, to make recommendations to the board on the context and level of remuneration and to determine specific remuneration packages. At least one member with knowledge and experience of remuneration policy should be included in the committee.

The remuneration of directors is in any case approved by the shareholders at a general meeting. The level of remuneration should be sufficient to attract, retain and motivate the directors necessary to run the company successfully, but a company should not pay more than is necessary for this purpose. The remuneration of executive or managing directors should be in proportion to the remuneration of other executive or managing directors or other staff members of the company.

The Code recommends that a proportion of executive directors' remuneration should be structured so as to link rewards to corporate and individual performance.

If part of the remuneration is related to performance, this part should be designed to align the directors' interests with those of shareholders and to provide them with keen incentives to perform at the highest levels. Performance criteria should be based on the long-term viability of the company and include non-financial criteria relating to creating long-term value at the company.

In any case, Regulation 76 of Table A of the Companies Law provides that the general meeting is able to determine the directors' remuneration. Also pursuant to Section 188 of the Companies Law, the relevant details must be included in the financial statements presented before the general meeting for approval.

### **iii Committees**

The Code suggests the establishment of three different board committees; nevertheless, it encourages the board to form additional committees if necessary. Pursuant to Section A.4.1 of the Code, a nomination committee should be established, responsible for leading the process for board appointments and making relevant recommendations to the board. Moreover, Section B.1.1 of the Code provides for the formation of the remuneration committee, which makes recommendations on the remuneration policy, while Section C.3.1 of the Code

provides for the establishment of the audit committee. This committee, *inter alia*, submits proposals for the appointment, termination and remuneration of the auditors and keeps under continuous review the scope and results of the audit, its cost-effectiveness and the independence and objectivity of the auditors.

#### **iv Directors**

##### ***Appointment and term of office***

The principle is that there should be a formal and transparent procedure for the appointment of new directors, who should be competent and suitable. Section A.4.2 of the Code provides that the appointment of suitable and competent persons should also take into consideration their honesty and integrity as well as their knowledge and experience.

As outlined above, the procedure for appointments is led by the nomination committee. Non-executive directors should be appointed for a specific term and their re-election should not be automatic. All directors should be subject to election by the shareholders at the first AGM after their appointment, and to re-election thereafter at intervals of no more than three years.

The first directors are appointed either by being named in the articles of association or pursuant to a regulation that provides subscribers with the relevant power to appoint them. Subsequent directors are elected by the shareholders as prescribed in the articles. It is also customary that the board is entitled to appoint a director for the purposes of filling a casual vacancy or as an addition to the existing directors, provided that the maximum number of directors specified in the articles is not affected.

Section 178 of the Companies Law provides that a company can, by ordinary resolution, remove directors before the expiration of their period in office. However, the director concerned has the right to submit written representations. A recent amendment of the Companies Law has stipulated that the articles cannot provide for a greater majority for the removal of directors than that required by Section 178.

##### ***Role of non-executive directors***

The involvement of non-executive directors in the management of the company is essential since it ensures board balance, and so avoids situations where a single director dominates the decision-making process.

The independence of non-executive directors is safeguarded by the Code itself, since it sets certain minimum requirements for their appointment. For example, non-executive directors should not have any business or close family ties with other members of the board or shareholders, or any other material relationship with the company that by its nature may affect their independent and unbiased judgement. Also, a non-executive director should not have been an employee of the company during the past five years.

Also, the participation of non-executive directors in the various board committees is important since it safeguards transparency, especially with regard to the appointment and remuneration process, and contributes to the avoidance of possible conflicts of interests.

##### ***Legal duties and liability of directors***

In general, directors must act in good faith, in the best interests of the company and for the benefit of its shareholders as a whole, and in doing so they should consider the long-term consequences of their decisions and the impact on the company's reputation in the market.

Directors owe a fiduciary duty to the company to manage it pursuant to the Cypriot and European laws and regulations, and to its memorandum and articles of association. When in breach of this duty, they are liable for any loss and damage caused by illegal or *ultra vires* acts.

Furthermore, a duty of care in common law exists, which includes a duty not to act negligently in managing the affairs of the company. Nevertheless, pursuant to Section 383(1) of the Companies Law, if directors act honestly and reasonably according to the specific circumstances of the case, they cannot be held liable.

The directors are also responsible for the monitoring and settlement of any matters of conflicts of interest between executive directors and shareholders, including cases of mismanagement of assets or transactions with associated parties.

Furthermore, a number of statutory provisions impose various notification, disclosure and reporting duties on the directors, especially regarding the acquisition and disposals of shares in the company. A breach of statutory duties may result in sanctions and penalties.

### **III DISCLOSURE**

The primary sources of the corporate governance disclosure requirements, other than the Companies Law, include the Code, the Transparency Law and the Market Abuse Law.

In any case, all Cyprus companies are subject to certain disclosure and notification requirements set out in the Companies Law, such as the maintenance of corporate registers, including the registers of shareholders, directors and charges; and the submission of annual returns to the DRCOR, including the audited financial statements for the previous year.

#### **i The Code**

The Code imposes an obligation on listed companies to include in the annual report a report on corporate governance by the board. In the first part of the report the company should specify whether it complies with the Code and the extent to which it implements its principles. The second part of the report should include a confirmation that the company has complied with the Code's provisions and, in the event that it has not done so, it should provide adequate explanation for its non-compliance.

Moreover, the company's report on corporate governance should contain a statement of the remuneration policy and related criteria as well as details of the remuneration of the executive and non-executive directors. It should be submitted to the shareholders each year and it is considered as the main vehicle through which the company reports to its shareholders in relation to the directors' remuneration.

It is also the board's responsibility to submit a balanced, detailed and understandable assessment of its position and prospects. This extends to all public reports, reports to regulators and information required to be presented by statutory requirements.

#### **ii The Transparency Law**

The Transparency Law applies to legal entities whose transferable securities have been admitted to trading on a regulated market, and it imposes a number of disclosure requirements. A number of amendments were introduced during 2016 in relation to the Transparency Law.

### ***Financial disclosures***

At the latest within four months after the end of each financial year, every company must disclose its annual financial report, including its annual financial statements, the directors' report, and a statement by the board and the general and financial directors confirming that the financial statements have been prepared according to the applicable accounting standards, that they provide a true and fair view of the financial position of the company, and that the directors' report provides a fair view of the development and performance of the business of the company. This report should remain available to the public for at least 10 years.

Moreover, at the latest within three months after the end of the first half of the financial year, every issuer of shares or debt securities must disclose half-yearly financial reports containing interim financial statements; an interim management report that contains, *inter alia*, a detailed and extensive economic analysis, a declaration of income deriving from extraordinary activities, comparative economic analysis with the previous year, and the principal risks and uncertainties for the year to come; and a relevant statement by the board. The half-yearly report must remain available to the public for at least 10 years.

Companies that operate in the mining industry or timber harvesting are subject to separate financial reporting requirements.

Entities that exclusively issue debt securities that are traded on a regulated market whose nominal value per unit is at least €100,000, or the equivalent in another currency, are exempt from the above requirements.

### ***Ongoing obligations***

A company acquiring or disposing of its own shares must disclose, at the latest within the next business day, the total amount of shares being acquired or disposed of, provided they amount to or exceed 5 per cent or 10 per cent of the total voting rights in the case of an acquisition, or amount to or fall below these thresholds in the case of a disposal. Furthermore, any change to the rights attached to a class of shares must be disclosed immediately. The company must also disclose, at the end of the relevant calendar month, an increase or decrease in its total capital and voting rights.

Upon receipt of any notification, the company must disclose all the information contained therein as soon as possible and in any event before the close of the next business day following receipt of the notification.

Where a proposal for the amendment of the company's memorandum and articles is made, the company must, as soon as possible, notify CySEC and the regulated market upon which the securities are traded and in any case before the general meeting for the examination of the relevant amendment is called.

### ***Disclosures relating to the acquisition or disposal of shares with voting rights attached***

Where a shareholder acquires or disposes of shares with voting rights attached, the company and CySEC must be notified of the percentage of rights held by that shareholder if, in the case of an acquisition, the percentage of voting rights reaches or exceeds specific thresholds or, in the case of a disposal, the voting rights reach or fall below the relevant thresholds. Similar obligations arise where an equivalent event occurs that alters the breakdown of the voting rights and where the voting rights are, *inter alia*, held by a third party. Similar provisions apply where financial instruments held in the company entitle the holder to acquire shares

with voting rights attached. In such cases the obligation to notify arises when, at the date of maturity, the holder has an unconditional right to acquire the underlying shares or the discretion to acquire the shares.

### **iii The Market Abuse Law**

Further disclosure obligations are imposed by the Market Abuse Law, which has been implemented for the purposes of the effective application of Regulation (EU) No. 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on market abuse (the Market Abuse Regulation). The aim of the Market Abuse Regulation and the Market Abuse Law is effectively to enhance market integrity and investor protection, and at the same time eliminate insider dealing and market manipulation. Companies that deal in financial instruments traded on a regulated market are under an obligation to detect and report market abuse and insider dealing according to the provisions of the Market Abuse Regulation. The competent authority responsible for supervising and applying the provisions of the Market Abuse Regulation and the Market Abuse Law is CySEC.

### **iv Information on websites**

The Companies Law provides that the name, registration number, registered office and nature of a company (i.e., whether public or private) must be published on its website.

Moreover, a company whose shares are admitted on a regulated market should publish on its website all information that it is obliged to disclose under the Transparency Law, together with any other information as specified in circulars issued by CySEC. The company should also communicate this information to CySEC, which may publish the information on its website.

Also, as described in Section IV.iii, *supra*, issuers of transferable securities should publish, as soon as possible, inside information on their website and keep it there for at least five years.

### **v Role of the auditors**

Pursuant to the Companies Law, every company must appoint its auditors at the AGM, while every company required by the Companies Law to prepare consolidated financial statements and every public company and private company (except small companies) must have its financial statements audited. In practice, and since the tax authorities require tax computations to be supported by audited financial statements, all Cyprus companies should have their financial statements audited.

Pursuant to the Transparency Law the auditors must review and report on the periodic financial statements that must be disclosed, as outlined above.

The auditors must be properly licensed to perform the audit and, while doing so, they must be independent from the company, not be involved in the decision-making body and not have a direct or indirect economic, professional or other relationship with the company.

## **IV CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY**

It is evident that awareness of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in Cyprus is constantly increasing and attempts are being made to integrate the principles of CSR into the internal

policies of Cypriot entities. Therefore, Cypriot entities are moving towards a more active role in achieving responsible business practices and ensuring that their financial goals are not detrimental to social improvement.

The move towards more CSR-friendly practices is being promoted by the government of Cyprus, which is taking active steps to create awareness of CSR.

More specifically, the government has appointed the Directorate General for European Programmes, Coordination and Development (DG EPCD) as the national coordinator for the promotion of CSR in Cyprus. Steps taken by the DG EPCD include issuing a National Action Plan for 2013–2015, which was approved by the Council of Ministers for the purpose of evaluating and promoting CSR, and aiming to introduce a suitable framework for the systematic development and promotion of CSR practices in both the private and public sectors. Revision of the National Action Plan may arise as a result of the new CSR strategy currently in preparation by the EU.

As the responsible public authority, the DG EPCD is expected to carry out a supporting role through an intelligent combination of policy measures and, where necessary, complementary regulations. The measures include the dissemination of CSR guides, the establishment and enhancement of the Cyprus CSR Network website in collaboration with the Cyprus Chamber of Commerce and Industry, the Technological University of Cyprus and the Planning Office, the organisation of seminars, promoting CSR in the academic environment and giving awards for best practices.

In addition, the DG EPCD in cooperation with the DRCOR is in the process of coordinating the transposition into national law of Directive 2014/95/EU, whereby the EU establishes a minimum legal requirement for certain large undertakings or groups and public-interest entities as regards the disclosure of non-financial information relating to social and environmental matters.

Furthermore, the Cyprus Organisation for Standardisation has issued the Cyprus standard CYS ISO 26000:2014 – Guidance on Social Responsibility, providing information on CSR and how this can become part of everyday business practice. Interested firms may acquire the specific standard.

## **V SHAREHOLDERS**

A shareholder of a company is a natural or legal person that has legal title to the shares of a company and is able to enjoy and exercise the rights attached to those shares.

### **i Shareholder rights and powers**

The powers that shareholders have under Cypriot law are considerably less extensive than those of the board of directors. The board of directors, unlike the shareholders, is legally bestowed with the power to manage and control a company, in support of the fundamental principle that a company is a separate legal entity that operates independently from its shareholders. The rights and powers of shareholders are, nevertheless, substantial.

While some of the powers of a shareholder are statutory deriving directly from the Companies Law, certain others vary depending on the regulations set out in the articles of association of a company (the articles).

The rights and powers of shareholders are exercised through their right to attend and vote at general meetings of a company. This entails the passing of resolutions in one of the following manners, depending on the matter to be addressed and based on the provisions of the Companies Law as well as the regulations adopted in the articles:

- a* ordinary resolutions, which require a simple majority;
- b* extraordinary resolutions passed by a majority of not less than three-quarters of the members;
- c* special resolutions, which also require a majority of not less than three-quarters of the members, provided 21 days' notice is given specifying the intention to propose the resolution as a special resolution; and
- d* such other voting mechanics as may be set out in the articles (only applicable with respect to non-statutory powers).

In all the above cases, the percentages are calculated based on the members entitled to vote and who are present and voting at the relevant meetings, either in person or by proxy.

The statutory powers that pertain to the shareholders include, *inter alia*, the following:

- a* removing directors before the expiration of their period of office;
- b* increasing or reducing the authorised share capital of the company;
- c* altering the memorandum of association and the objects contained therein;
- d* changing a company's name;
- e* varying rights attached to classes of shares;
- f* cross-border mergers;
- g* amalgamations and schemes of reconstruction;
- h* voluntary liquidation of the company; and
- i* holding the AGM for consideration of, *inter alia*, the financial statements, dividend payments (provided the dividend payments are proposed by the directors), appointment and remuneration of auditors, and appointment or removal of directors who have been appointed to fill a vacancy.

A recent amendment to the Companies Law allows the articles to provide that resolutions with respect to specific matters passed at general meetings that require a certain majority by virtue of the Companies Law are to be passed by a greater majority than that set out in the Companies Law, with the exception of the removal of directors, which, pursuant to the Companies Law, must at all times be passed by way of an ordinary resolution.

Aside from the above statutory powers, shareholders are entitled to have further rights reserved to their competence. These rights must, however, be included in the articles to be binding on the company, otherwise they merely remain contractual rights as agreed between the shareholders. The purpose of the articles is to regulate the relationship among the members and between the members and the company. Any such non-statutory rights must not interfere with the statutory rights that pertain to the directors, otherwise the rights risk being considered unenforceable.

The rights of shareholders are directly linked to the shares held by them. Specifically, the voting rights each shareholder has are dependent on the rights attached to those shares (i.e., voting or non-voting rights, rights to dividends, etc.); these rights are specified in the articles. Furthermore, the percentage of shares held by the shareholder determines the controlling power of that shareholder in the decision-making process, unless the articles provide for weighted voting rights with respect to specified matters.

Notwithstanding the above, both the Code and the Companies Law embody the general principle that shareholders who hold shares of the same class shall be treated equally, and provisions in the articles to the contrary shall be void. The articles and the law contain a number of provisions to ensure that this principle is respected, including rights of pre-emption, sufficient notices for general meetings, etc. The Code specifically provides that all shareholders of the same category should be treated equally and have the same voting rights.

## **ii Shareholders' duties and responsibilities**

The duties and responsibilities of shareholders towards the company, as opposed to those of the directors towards the company, are limited. As mentioned above, Cypriot companies are separate legal entities that have a separate personality from their shareholders. Shareholders are, therefore, generally not involved in the day-to-day management of a company and thus do not owe any duties and responsibilities to the company or to the other shareholders. In fact, with limited liability companies, the liability of shareholders is limited by the memorandum to the amount, if any, that is unpaid on the shares held by them individually. Shareholders can be held liable only in exceptional circumstances, such as in cases of fraud. In such cases the corporate veil can be pierced.

A primary duty of the shareholders is to ensure that the company has a management body. On the incorporation of the company the directors are either named in the articles by the shareholders or a clause is inserted in the articles giving the shareholders a right to appoint them. Thereafter, the shareholders should ensure that the number of directors does not fall below the minimum requirement set out in the articles, otherwise this will automatically result in the company ceasing to be operational and thus being struck off the register by the DRCOR.

Furthermore, the shareholders have a duty to pay the subscription price for the shares allotted to them. If a shareholder fails to pay the subscription price, the articles may prohibit the shareholder from exercising its voting rights and the directors may forfeit the shares, in which case the shareholder ceases to be a member of the company. This, however, does not affect the continuity of a company (provided, of course, that the company has other shareholders or, if not, the shares are transferred to a new shareholder), since the company as a separate legal entity can take steps through its management board to resolve the issue.

Although shareholders are not necessarily obligated to attend and vote at general meetings, this constitutes their main task. This ultimately ensures that the shareholders retain a certain level of control over the company through exercising their voting rights. In particular, the shareholders are required to hold AGMs. The first AGM must be convened within 18 months from the date of incorporation of the company; thereafter, the AGM must be convened within 15 months of the previous AGM. The issues discussed at the AGM include the consideration of the financial statements, the auditors' and directors' reports, the composition of the board of directors, and the appointment and remuneration of auditors.

With respect to public companies, the Companies Law<sup>7</sup> provides that, within a period of not less than one month, nor more than three months, from the date at which the company is entitled to commence business, it is required by law to hold a general meeting of the members of the company – the 'statutory meeting'.

## **iii Shareholder activism**

Although the management and control of a company is vested in the board of directors, the shareholders nevertheless have the power to put pressure on the company's management body.

The most substantial power vested in shareholders is that they have direct control over the composition of the board of directors and, although they do not have control over what decision or action is taken by the directors, this can nevertheless affect the decisions taken by the directors in an indirect manner.

In addition, both the Companies Law and the Code promote the giving of information to shareholders. The Companies Law specifically provides that shareholders have the right to inspect the following documents without payment of a fee, or to be provided with copies thereof upon payment of a fee, as applicable:

- a* the register of the holders of debentures of the company pursuant to Section 84 of the Companies Law;
- b* the register and index of the members of the company pursuant to Section 108 of the Companies Law;
- c* the minutes of proceedings of all general meetings of the company to date, pursuant to Section 140 of the Companies Law;
- d* a certified true copy of all audited financial statements, directors' reports and auditors' reports to date, pursuant to Section 152(2) of the Companies Law;
- e* the register of charges, book of mortgages and copies of all instruments creating a charge, and the particulars of all mortgages requiring recording (including with the Registrar of Companies by virtue of Section 90 of the Companies Law, as well as in the internal register of charges and book of mortgages pursuant to Section 99 of the Companies Law), pursuant to Section 100(1) of the Companies Law;
- f* the register of directors' shareholdings, pursuant to Section 187(5) of the Companies Law; and
- g* the register of the directors and secretaries of the company, in accordance with Section 192(6) of the Companies Law.

Generally, under the Companies Law, all extraordinary general meetings (EGMs) are convened by the board of directors, therefore an EGM cannot normally take place unless convened by the board. As an exception to this rule, the Companies Law provides that, notwithstanding anything in its articles, upon the request of shareholders holding not less than one-tenth of the paid-up capital that carries the right of voting at general meetings or, in the case of a company not having share capital, members of the company representing not less than one-tenth of the total voting rights of all the members with a right to vote at general meetings, the directors of a company shall proceed to convene the EGM.

Despite the fact that Cypriot courts are reluctant to interfere with the internal management of a company, the shareholders have the power to bring the following actions:

- a* a personal action against the company in relation to a breach of the company's duties towards the shareholders; or
- b* a derivative action – available only where there is an alleged fraud and the wrongdoers are in control of the company. In this case, the shareholder brings an action on behalf of the company.

In addition to the above, the Companies Law provides statutory protection to a shareholder. In accordance with Section 202 of the Companies Law, any member of a company who complains that the affairs of the company are being conducted in a manner oppressive to some of the members (including him or herself) may apply by petition to the court for an order. In such cases, the court, if it considers that the company's affairs are being conducted

in an oppressive manner and that to wind up the company would unfairly prejudice that part of the members, but otherwise the facts would justify the making of a winding-up order on the grounds that it was just and equitable that the company should be wound up, it may issue an order as it thinks fit, including an order (1) regulating the conduct of the company's affairs in the future; (2) for the purchase of the shares of any members of the company by other members of the company; (3) for the purchase of the shares of any members by the company and the reduction accordingly of the company's capital; or (4) otherwise.

#### **iv Takeover defences**

Generally certain takeover defence tactics exist under Cyprus law; however, these have been limited by Directive 2004/25/EC on takeover bids (the Takeover Directive), which was implemented in Law 41(I)/2007 and which seeks to safeguard efficient takeover mechanisms by introducing two key concepts, namely the breakthrough principle and the board neutrality principle, allowing the bidder to render some pre-existing restrictions inoperable, to remove the board and to change the articles to override frustrating actions.

#### ***Shareholder and voting rights plans, and similar measures***

Defensive measures under Cyprus law include restrictions on the transfer of securities (either in the articles of the target or contractually agreed between the target company and the shareholders), restrictions on voting rights or weighted voting rights.

Cyprus has taken a more shareholder-friendly approach when implementing the provisions of the Takeover Directive by giving shareholders the option to resolve on disapplying pre-existing restrictions that can make an offer inoperable (such as removing the board and changing the articles to override frustrating actions against a potential bid). Instead of making the disapplication of these provisions mandatory under statute, the Takeover Law simply allows the target to elect to disapply the provisions by passing a shareholders' resolution. This ultimately gives the shareholders a level of control over the bid depending on whether the offer is hostile or not. The shareholders may specifically resolve the following (breakthrough principle):

- a* Any restrictions on the transfer of securities, provided for in the articles of the target company shall not apply vis-à-vis the offeror during the time allowed for acceptance of the bid.
- b* Any restrictions on the transfer of securities provided for in contractual agreements between the target company and holders of its securities or between holders of its securities, entered into after 21 April 2004, shall not apply in relation to the offeror during the time allowed for acceptance of the bid.
- c* Restrictions on voting rights provided for in the articles of the target company shall not have effect at the general meeting of shareholders that decides on any defensive measures. In these general meetings, every security gives to its holder the right of one vote. Restrictions on voting rights provided for in contractual agreements between the target company and holders of its securities entered into after 21 April 2004, shall not have effect at the general meeting that decides on any defensive measures. Multiple voting securities shall carry only one vote at the general meeting deciding on any defensive measures.

This does not apply to securities where restrictions on voting rights are compensated for by specific pecuniary advantages:

- a Where, following the bid, the offeror holds 75 per cent or more of the capital carrying voting rights, no restrictions on the transfer of securities or on voting rights nor any extraordinary rights of shareholders concerning the appointment or removal of board members provided for in the articles of the target company shall apply. Multiple vote securities shall carry only one vote each at the first shareholders' meeting following the closure of the bid called by the target company to amend the articles or remove or appoint board members. To that end, the offeror shall have the right to convene a general meeting at short notice, provided that the meeting does not take place within two weeks of notification. This does not apply to securities where restrictions on voting rights are compensated for by specific pecuniary advantages.
- b Where rights are removed on the basis of the above provisions of Section 35, equitable compensation must be provided for any loss suffered by the holder of those rights. The terms of the compensation and payment shall be determined between the bidder and the shareholder whose rights are affected.

Therefore on the basis of the above, the shareholders have control as to whether they will allow defensive measures to frustrate the bid or whether they will resolve to dissapply such measures.

### ***White-knight defence***

Competing takeover bids are possible provided the competing offeror announces its firm decision any time before the beginning of the fourteenth day before the expiration of the time allowed for acceptance of the original takeover bid and its revision. In such cases, if the original offeror does not exercise its right to recall its own bid, the time allowed for acceptance of the original bid is automatically extended until the expiration of the time allowed for the acceptance of the competing bid. The recipients may withdraw the acceptance of the original bid if a competing bid is made provided the offeror of the original bid is notified in writing of the withdrawal and the competing bid is validly accepted, in which the letter informing the original offeror of the withdrawal is included as an attachment to the acceptance of the competing bid.

Furthermore, the board is allowed to seek alternative bids; however, as soon as the board of the offeree company becomes aware that a bid is imminent and until the expiration of the time allowed for acceptance or the revocation or cancellation of the bid, it may not, without the prior authorisation of the general meeting of the shareholders, take any action that may result in the frustration of the bid.

### ***Staggered boards***

Pursuant to Section 178 of the Companies Law, a company may by ordinary resolution remove a director before the expiration of his or her period of office, notwithstanding anything in the company articles or in any agreement between the company and the director. Therefore, if the new shareholder has 50 per cent plus one vote in the company or if his or her shares can be combined with the votes of another shareholder he or she may remove a director in accordance with the provisions set out in Section 178.

Furthermore, the shareholders may resolve that where following the bid, the offeror holds 75 per cent or more of the capital carrying voting rights, no restrictions on voting rights nor any extraordinary rights of shareholders concerning the appointment or removal of board members provided for in the articles of the target company shall apply. Multiple vote

securities shall carry only one vote each at the first shareholders' general meeting following the closure of the bid called by the target company to amend the articles or remove or appoint board members. To that end, the offeror shall have the right to convene a shareholders' general meeting at short notice, provided that the meeting does not take place within two weeks of notification.

#### **v Contact with shareholders**

The Code generally promotes contact with shareholders through the drawing up of reports. The Code specifically provides that shareholders should be given timely and precise reports on all material changes concerning the company, including its financial condition, performance, ownership and corporate governance. The report should contain, *inter alia*, the following material information:

- a* the financial statement of the company;
- b* the targets and activities of the company, if diversified;
- c* the major shareholders and voting rights;
- d* material foreseeable risks;
- e* material issues concerning employees and shareholders;
- f* the structure of governance and policies; and
- g* any unusual transactions of the company.

The Code further provides that the board of directors should appoint a management executive or an officer of the company as an investor liaison officer so as to ensure that all information pertaining to the company is distributed fairly, in a timely fashion and costless to all shareholders. The Code further encourages constructive dialogue between institutional investors and the companies and specifies that the board of directors should make sure that the agenda and the overall organisation of the general meetings do not eliminate substantial dialogue and the decision-making procedure.

In addition to the above, the Code provides for the submission of the following reports:

- a* a report by the board of directors on corporate governance, which should be included in the annual report with respect to listed companies, stating whether the company complies with the Code and the extent to which it implements its principles; and
- b* a remuneration report that is submitted to the shareholders each year as part of the company's annual report. This report should be the main vehicle through which the company reports to shareholders on directors' remuneration.

The Companies Law generally promotes the communication of information through electronic and telecommunication means, particularly with respect to public listed companies. Section 127A of the Companies Law provides that, with respect to companies listed in a regulated market, a notice for the calling of a general meeting shall be issued, free of charge, in a manner ensuring fast access to it on a non-discriminatory basis, using such media as may reasonably be relied upon for the effective dissemination of information to the public throughout the EU Member States. For the purposes of the question and answer procedure, a company listed in a regulated market shall be deemed to have given an answer if the relevant information is already available on its website in a question and answer format.

Furthermore, provided certain conditions are satisfied, the Companies Law gives a member of a company listed in a regulated market the right, through the use of electronic means or postal services and at the address designated by the listed company in a regulated market, to:

- a* put items on the agenda of the AGM, provided that each item is accompanied by reasons justifying its inclusion, or a draft resolution to be adopted at the general meeting; and
- b* table draft resolutions as an item on the agenda of a general meeting.

Last but not least, the Companies Law additionally provides that a company listed in a regulated market may offer participation in the general meeting by electronic means, including:

- a* mechanisms for casting votes, whether before or during the meeting. The mechanisms adopted shall not oblige the member to be physically present at the meeting or to appoint a proxy who shall be physically present at the meeting;
- b* real-time transmission of the general meeting; and
- c* real-time two-way communication enabling members to address the general meeting from a remote location.

## **VI OUTLOOK**

It is generally recognised that one of the main causes of the banking crisis in Cyprus was failed corporate governance and risk management strategies. The financial crisis has highlighted the significance of following corporate governance rules and the use of these rules as preventative measures and tools for creating a risk-efficient economic environment. It has been recognised that, in a bid to attract investors following the crisis, companies must actively promote transparency, risk management and corporate governance. This is particularly important for increasing investor confidence.

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

### **STELLA STRATI**

*Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC*

Stella Strati is a limited partner with the corporate finance department at Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC, specialising in corporate law, commercial law and corporate finance. She received her law degree from the University of Athens in Greece in 2003 and then she returned to Cyprus, where she was admitted to the Cyprus Bar Association. Stella also obtained an LLM in EU commercial law from the University of Leicester in 2006. She is a member of the International Bar Association, currently appointed as the national reporter of the Taxes Committee thereof and a member of the Society of Trust and Estate Practitioners (STEP). Stella has gained extensive teaching experience while teaching A-level law to young students at a local private institute and as an adjunct professor with the George Washington University in 2011. Stella has also participated as a speaker and a panellist in various seminars and conferences, both in Cyprus and abroad. She has authored several publications, is fluent in Greek and English and has a good knowledge of German.

### **ANGELIKI EPAMINONDA**

*Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC*

Angeliki Epaminonda is a senior associate at Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC, specialising in corporate law, corporate finance, mergers and acquisitions and commercial law. She received her LLB from Keele University in the United Kingdom in 2008 and then completed the legal practice course at Kaplan Law School in London in 2009. She joined Patrikios Pavlou & Associates LLC as a trainee lawyer at the end of 2009 and was admitted to the Cyprus Bar Association in 2010. She has authored several publications and is fluent in English, Greek and French, and has a good knowledge of Italian.

**PATRIKIOS PAVLOU & ASSOCIATES LLC**

Patrician Chambers

332 Agiou Andreou Str

3035 Limassol

Cyprus

Tel: +357 25 871 599

Fax: +357 25 344 548

stella.strati@pavlaw.com

aepaminonda@pavlaw.com

www.pavlaw.com